RENE L. VALLADARES 1 Federal Public Defender Nevada State Bar No. 11479 2 **RAQUEL LAZO** Assistant Federal Public Defender 3 411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 4 (702) 388-6577/Phone (702) 388-6261/Fax 5 Raquel Lazo@fd.org 6 Attorney for Trevion Darnell Mitchell 7 8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

TREVION DARNELL MITCHELL,

Defendant.

Case 1

STIP

DEAI

(Four

Case No. 2:21-CR-00156-JCM-VCF-1

STIPULATION TO EXTEND REPLY DEADLINE

(Fourth Request)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Jason M. Frierson, United States Attorney, and Kenneth Nicholas Portz, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and Rene L. Valladares, Federal Public Defender, and Raquel Lazo, Assistant Federal Public Defender, counsel for Trevion Darnell Mitchell, that the reply deadline to the government's response currently due August 31, 2022 be vacated and extended to September 14, 2022.

The Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. Mr. Mitchell's reply brief is currently due on August 31, 2022, the same day a hearing has been scheduled on defense counsel's motion to withdraw as counsel. ECF No. 61.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

1

In the event the motion to withdraw is denied, defense counsel will require additional time to draft and file the reply.

- 2. The defendant is incarcerated and does not object to the continuance.
- 3. The parties agree to the continuance.
- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and complete investigation of the discovery materials provided.
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

This is the fourth stipulation to continue pretrial motion deadlines filed herein.

DATED this 25th day of August 2022.

RENE L. VALLADARES Federal Public Defender	JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney
/s/ Raquel Lazo By	/s/ Kenneth Nicholas Portz By KENNETH NICHOLAS PORTZ Assistant United States Attorney
RAQUEL LAZO Assistant Federal Public Defender	

25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 2:21-CR-00156-JCM-VCF-1

Plaintiff.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

1 101111

v.

TREVION DARNELL MITCHELL,

Defendant.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. Mr. Mitchell's reply brief is currently due on August 31, 2022, the same day a hearing has been scheduled on defense counsel's motion to withdraw as counsel. ECF No. 61. In the event the motion to withdraw is denied, defense counsel will require additional time to draft and file the reply.
 - 2. The defendant is incarcerated and does not object to the continuance.
 - 3. The parties agree to the continuance.
- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and complete investigation of the discovery materials provided.
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section § 3161 (h)(7)(A), when the considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED Defendant's reply deadline to the government's response currently set for August 31, 2022, be vacated and extended to September 14, 2022.

DATED this 25th day of August 2022.

Continue

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE